Application No.	Applicant	Date received	Organisms	Field test location
95–037–01	InterMountain Canola Company.	2/6/95	Rapeseed plants genetically engineered to express modified fatty acids.	Colorado.
95–040–01	Asgrow Seed Company.	2/9/95	Melon plants genetically engineered to express resistance to cucumber mosaic virus, papaya ringspot virus, squash mosaic virus, watermelon mosaic virus 2, and zucchini yellow mosaic virus.	California, Georgia, Michigan.
95–041–01	R.J. Reynolds To- bacco Company.	2/10/95	Tobacco mosaic virus genetically engineered to express proteins of pharmaceutical interest.	North Carolina.
95–041–02, renewal of permit 94–168–01, issued on 10/12/ 94.	Calgene, Incorporated	2/10/95	Canola plants genetically engineered to express an altered oil profile.	California.
95–045–01	Louisiana State University.	2/14/95	Rice plants genetically engineered to express fungal resistance.	Louisiana.
95–045–02	Monsanto Company	2/14/95	Wheat plants genetically engineered for resistance to wheat streak mosaic virus.	Kansas.
95-046-01	Northrup King Company.	2/15/95	Corn plants genetically engineered for resistance to corn rootworm.	Minnesota.
95–053–01	PanAmerican Seed Company.	2/22/95	Petunia plants genetically engineered for resistance to fungi and bacteria.	Florida, Illinois.
95-054-01	Calgene, Incorporated	2/23/95	Canola plants genetically engineered for oil modification.	Wisconsin.
95-054-03, renewal of permit, 94-038-01, issued on 4/6/94.	Calgene, Incorporated	2/23/95	Canola plants genetically engineered for oil modification.	North Dakota.
95-059-01	Asgrow Seed Company.	2/28/95	Melon plants genetically engineered to express altered ethylene biosynthesis.	Michigan.
95–059–02	Asgrow Seed Company.	2/28/95	Watermelon plants genetically engineered for resistance to watermelon mosaic virus 2 and zucchini yellow mosaic virus.	Michigan.
95–065–01	University of Chicago	3/06/95	Atropa belladonna plants genetically engineered to express an altered alkaloid profile for resistance to insect herbivores.	Illinois.
95–065–02, renewal of permit 91–077–01, issued on 6/18/ 91.	Harris Moran Seed Company.	3/06/95	Melon plants genetically engineered for resistance to cucumber mosaic virus.	California.
95–066–01, renewal of permit 92–037–07, issued on 5/18/ 92.	Asgrow Seed Company.	3/07/95	Melon plants genetically engineered for resistance to cucumber mosaic virus, watermelon mosaic virus 2, and zucchini yellow mosaic virus.	Florida.
95–067–01	Petoseed Company	3/08/95	Squash plants genetically engineered for resistance to cucumber mosaic virus and watermelon mosaic virus 2.	California.

Done in Washington, DC, this 22nd day of March 1995.

Terry L. Medley,

Acting Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.

[FR Doc. 95–7601 Filed 3–27–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–34–P

Consolidated Farm Service Agency

List of Warehouses and Availability of List of Cancellations and/or Terminations

AGENCY: Consolidated Farm Service Agency, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of publication of Warehouses Licensed Under the U.S. Warehouse Act and the availability of a listing of cancellations and/or terminations occurring during calendar year 1994.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the Consolidated Farm Service Agency has published a list of warehouses licensed under the U.S. Warehouse Act (7 U.S.C. 241 et seq.) as of December 31, 1994, as required by section 26 of that Act (7 U.S.C. 266). A list of cancellations or terminations that occurred during calendar year 1994 is also available. Interested parties may obtain a copy of either list from the person listed below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mrs. Judy Fry, Consolidated Farm Service Agency, Warehouse and Inventory Division, U.S. Department of Agriculture, P.O. Box 2415, Room 5962, South Agriculture Building, Washington, D.C. 20013–2415, telephone: 202–720–3822.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on March 22, 1995.

Bruce R. Weber,

Acting Administrator, Consolidated Farm Service Agency.

[FR Doc. 95–7602 Filed 3–27–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–05–P

Forest Service

Establishment of Two Purchase Units, California and Oregon, and an Addition to the Sur Sur Purchase Unit, California

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA. **ACTION:** Notice of Establishment of Purchase Units.

SUMMARY: On March 9, 1995, the Deputy Under Secretary, Natural Resources and Environment, created Leads Island Purchase Unit, 283 acres, more or less, Douglas County, Oregon, and the Twin Peaks Purchase Unit, 404 acres, more or less, Monterey County, California; also 924.59 acres, more or less, were added to the existing Sur Sur Purchase Unit, Monterey County, California. Copies of the establishment documents, which include the legal description of the lands within these purchases units, appear at the end of this notice.

EFFECTIVE DATE: The effective date of these purchase units was March 9, 1995. ADDRESSES: Copies of the maps showing these purchase units are on file and available for public inspection in the Office of the Director of Lands, Forest Service, Auditor's Building, 201 14th Street, SW, Washington, DC. 20090–6090.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ralph Bauman, Lands Staff, Forest Service, USDA, P.O. Box 96090, Washington, DC. 20090–6090, (202) 205–1248.

Sterling J. Wilcox,

Acting Associate Deputy Chief.

Leeds Island Purchase Unit

Douglas County, Oregon

Pursuant to the Secretary of Agriculture's authority under Section 17, P.L. 94–588 (90 Stat. 2949), the Leeds Island Purchase Unit is being created in Douglas County, Oregon. The lands within the purchase unit are described as follows:

Douglas County, Oregon, Willamette Meridian

T. 21 S., R. 12 W.

Sec. 27: Pt. W¹/₂; SW¹/₄SE¹/₄

Sec. 28: E¹/₂E¹/₂

Sec. 34: $E^{1/2}W^{1/4}$; Lots 1, 2, 3

The area described contains 283 acres, more or less.

These lands are well suited for watershed protection and meet the requirements of the Act of March 1, 1911, as amended.

Twin Peaks Purchase Unit, Monterey County, California

Pursuant to the Secretary of Agriculture's authority under Section 17, P.L. 94–588 (90 Stat. 2949) a purchase unit is being established and is described as follows:

Monterey County, California, Mount Diablo Meridian

T.18S., R.1E. Sec. 2 N¹/₂

The area described aggregate 404 acres, more or less, and are adjacent to the Los Padres National Forest, California.

These lands are well suited for watershed protection and meet the requirements of the Act of March 1, 1911, as amended.

Sur Sur Purchase Unit Addition, Monterey County, California

Pursuant to the Secretary of Agriculture's authority under Section 17, P.L. 94–588 (90 Stat. 2949) the following described lands are being added to the Sur Sur Purchase Unit which was created May 21, 1993 (58 FR 35427):

Mount Diablo Meridian, Monterey County, California

T. 24 S., R. 5 E.

Sec. 4: SE1/4SE1/4;

Sec. 9: Lot 2 except the westerly 700′ thereof measured at right angles to the westerly line of said lot, Lot 3, Lot 4, Lot 5, NE¹/4NE¹/4

Sec. 10: NW¹/₄NW¹/₄; (215.93 ac)

Sec. 14: Lot 2, Lot 3, $NE^{1/4}SW^{1/4}W^{1/2}SE^{1/4}$

Sec. 23: Lot 1; (182.62 ac)

T. 24 S., R. 6 E.,

Sec. 31: Lot 5, NE¹/4NE¹/4; (77.81 ac) Sec. 32: Lot 1, Lot 2, W¹/4NE¹/4, NE¹/4NE¹/4, NW¹/4, E¹/2SW¹/4, NW¹/4SE¹/4; (448.23 ac)

The area described aggregates 924.59 acres, more or less, and the lands are adjacent to the Los Padres National Forest, California.

These lands are well suited for watershed protection and meet the requirements of the Act of March 1, 1911, as amended.

Dated: March 9, 1995.

Adela Backiel,

Deputy Under Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-7614 Filed 3-27-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-428-810]

High-Tenacity Rayon Filament Yarn From Germany; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On June 22, 1994, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of review of the antidumping duty order on rayon filament yarn from Germany. The review covers the subsidiaries of one producer/importer, Akzo Faser N.V. Its subsidiaries are Akzo Fibers, Inc., in the United States, and Akzo Faser A.G., in Germany.

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results. Based on our

analysis of the comments received, and the correction of clerical errors, we have changed the final results from those presented in the preliminary results of review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 28, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Matthew Blaskovich or Zev Primor, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–5831/ 4114.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 29, 1993, Akzo Faser N.V. and its subsidiaries (Akzo) requested that the Department of Commerce (the Department) conduct an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on high-tenacity rayon filament yarn from Germany. We initiated the review, which covers the period February 20, 1992 through May 31, 1993, on July 21, 1993 (58 FR 39007). On June 22, 1994, the Department published the preliminary results of the administrative review (59 FR 32181). The Department has now completed the administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Review

The product covered by this administrative review is high-tenacity rayon filament yarn from Germany. High-tenacity rayon filament yarn is a multifilament single varn of viscose rayon with a twist of five turns or more per meter, having a denier of 1100 or greater, and a tenacity greater than 35 centinewtons per tex. During the review period, such merchandise was classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) item number 5403.10.30.40. The HTS item number is provided for convenience and Customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive as to the scope of the product coverage.

Analysis of Comments Received

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results. We received comments from North American Rayon Corporation (the petitioner) and the respondent on July 22, 1994. We received rebuttal comments from the petitioner and the respondent on July 29, 1994. At the request of the respondent, we held a public hearing on August 5, 1994.